



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/331,829	06/23/1999	HIROSHI SUZUKI	1576.77	2131

7590 09/27/2002

Joseph C Mason Jr.
Mason & Associates
17757 US Highway 19 North
Suite 500
Clearwater, FL 33764

EXAMINER

SELLERS, ROBERT E

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1712

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2002

24

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TC-24

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/331,829	SUZUKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert Sellers	1712

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 20 December 2001. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See the attachment.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See the attachment.

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 6-10.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: _____

Robert Sellers
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit: 1712

The amendment after Final rejection filed September 24, 2002 has been denied entry for the following reasons. None of the previously considered claims dealt with the new issue of defining the claimed tetrakisphenol itself as a curing accelerator. New claims 11-22 are indefinite since there is no depicted structure for general formula (I). Twelve new claims have been presented while only five claims have been cancelled.

The comparisons referred to on page 8 of the amendment are inconclusive since it cannot be ascertained whether the relative amounts of curative or curing accelerator, and host compound have been held constant to isolate the effect of the claimed tetrakisphenol host compound over the closest prior art bisphenol A of Japanese '711 (page 15, paragraph 14, item (14)). The 1,1-bis(4-hydroxyphenyl)cyclohexane (specification, page 26, BHC) of Sample Nos. 53, 50 and 48, and the bisphenol S (BPS) of Sample No. 55 are not representative of the closest prior art bisphenol A of Japanese '711.

Furthermore, it is unclear whether the results are reflective of the different type of host compound or the diverse processes of preparation. The samples utilizing the claimed tetrakisphenol were prepared by two different methods as described on page 21 of the specification, whereas the samples allegedly representative of the prior art were obtained via the procedures set forth in the references cited on pages 26-27, references (1) to (5).

(703) 308-2399
Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

RS
9/25/02



ROBERT E.L. SELLERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER